



Official General Election Ballot

Prowers County, Colorado
Tuesday, November 06, 2018

Jana Coen
Clerk and Recorder

Precinct: 4356450012
Ballot Style: PRECT-12

<p>How to vote Correct</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use blue or black ink Completely fill the oval to the left of your choice 	<p>Incorrect</p>	<p>If you make a mistake</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cross out the entire name or choice that you marked by mistake Completely fill another oval to the left of the correct choice
<p>Optional write-in</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Completely fill the oval to the left of the words "Write-in" Then legibly print the name of an eligible write-in candidate on the line 		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not vote for more candidates or choices than indicated for each ballot contest Do not sign or initial your ballot or mistakes Do not erase or white out mistakes You don't have to vote in every race or contest 		

WARNING: Any person who, by use of force or other means, unduly influences an eligible elector to vote in any particular manner or to refrain from voting, or who falsely makes, alters, forges, or counterfeits any mail ballot before or after it has been cast, or who destroys, defaces, mutilates, or tampers with a ballot is subject, upon conviction, to imprisonment, or to a fine, or both. Section 1-7-5-107(3)(b), C.R.S.

Federal Offices	County Offices	Ballot Measures
<p>Representative to the 116th United States Congress District 4 (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Karen McCormick Democratic</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Ken Buck Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Write-in:</p>	<p>County Commissioner District 2 (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Ron Cook Republican</p> <p>County Clerk and Recorder (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Jana Coen Republican</p>	<p>Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.</p> <p>State Measures</p> <p>Amendment V (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a reduction in the age qualification for a member of the general assembly from twenty-five years to twenty-one years? <input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p> <p>Amendment W (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change in the format of the election ballot for judicial retention elections? <input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p> <p>Amendment X (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning changing the industrial hemp definition from a constitutional definition to a statutory definition? <input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p> <p>Amendment Y (CONSTITUTIONAL) Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the way that congressional districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, taking the duty to draw congressional districts away from the state legislature and giving it to an independent commission, composed of twelve citizens who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw districts with a focus on communities of interest and political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive congressional seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party? <input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>
<p>State Offices</p> <p>Governor / Lieutenant Governor (Vote for One Pair)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Jared Polis / Dianne Primavera Democratic</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Walker Stapleton / Lang Sias Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab Unity</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Scott Helker / Michele Poague Libertarian</p>	<p>County Treasurer (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Judy L. Wittman Republican</p> <p>County Assessor (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Andy Wyatt Republican</p> <p>County Sheriff (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Samuel Zordel Republican</p> <p>County Surveyor (Vote for One)</p> <p>There are no candidates for this office.</p>	
<p>Secretary of State (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Wayne Williams Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Jena Griswold Democratic</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Amanda Campbell American Constitution</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Blake Huber Approval Voting</p>	<p>County Coroner (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Joe Giadone Democratic</p> <p>Judicial Retention Questions (Vote Yes or No)</p> <p>Colorado Supreme Court Justice Shall Justice Richard L. Gabriel of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Colorado Court of Appeals Judge Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Shall Judge Rebecca Rankin Freyre of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>Shall Judge Elizabeth L. Harris of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p>	
<p>State Treasurer (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Brian Watson Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Dave Young Democratic</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Gerald F. Kilpatrick American Constitution</p>	<p>Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> <p>District Court Judge 15th Judicial District Shall Judge Michael Davidson of the 15th Judicial District be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p>	
<p>Attorney General (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Phil Weiser Democratic</p> <p><input type="radio"/> George Brauchler Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> William F. Robinson III Libertarian</p>	<p>County Court Judge Shall Judge Curtis Lane Porter of the Prowers County Court be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	
<p>State Board of Education Member Congressional District 4 (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Tim Krug Democratic</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Debora L. Scheffel Republican</p>		
<p>Regent of the University of Colorado At Large (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Lesley Smith Democratic</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Ken Montera Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Christopher E. Otwell Unity</p> <p><input type="radio"/> James K. Treibert Libertarian</p>		
<p>State Representative District 64 (Vote for One)</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Kimmi Lewis Republican</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Teri Nilson Baird Democratic</p>		

! Please review and vote both sides of all pages.

State Measures	State Measures	District Measures
<p>Amendment Z (CONSTITUTIONAL)</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning a change to the manner in which state senate and state house of representatives districts are drawn, and, in connection therewith, reforming the existing legislative reapportionment commission by expanding the commission to twelve members and authorizing the appointment of members who possess specified qualifications; prohibiting any one political party's control of the commission by requiring that one-third of commissioners will not be affiliated with any political party, one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's largest political party, and one-third of the commissioners will be affiliated with the state's second largest political party; prohibiting certain persons, including professional lobbyists, federal campaign committee employees, and federal, state, and local elected officials, from serving on the commission; limiting judicial review of a map to a determination by the supreme court of whether the commission or its nonpartisan staff committed an abuse of discretion; requiring the commission to draw state legislative districts using communities of interest as well as political subdivisions, such as cities and counties, and then to maximize the number of competitive state legislative seats to the extent possible; and prohibiting maps from being drawn to dilute the electoral influence of any racial or ethnic group or to protect any incumbent, any political candidate, or any political party?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>Proposition 109 (STATUTORY)</p> <p>SHALL STATE DEBT BE INCREASED \$3,500,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$5,200,000,000, WITHOUT RAISING TAXES OR FEES, BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES REQUIRING THE ISSUANCE OF TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, NOTE PROCEEDS SHALL BE RETAINED AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND USED EXCLUSIVELY TO FUND SPECIFIED ROAD AND BRIDGE EXPANSION, CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>Lamar School District RE-2 Ballot Issue 4A</p> <p>SHALL LAMAR SCHOOL DISTRICT DEBT BE INCREASED BY \$3,950,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF UP TO \$6,500,000, AND SHALL DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY UP TO \$325,000 ANNUALLY BY THE ISSUANCE AND PAYMENT OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TO PROVIDE LOCAL MATCHING MONEY REQUIRED FOR THE DISTRICT TO RECEIVE APPROXIMATELY \$4,429,262 IN STATE GRANT FUNDS (WHICH ARE NOT REQUIRED TO BE REPAID) UNDER THE BUILDING EXCELLENT SCHOOLS TODAY ("BEST") PROGRAM TO FINANCE THE COSTS OF PROVIDING:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DISTRICT-WIDE SECURITY MONITORING SYSTEM FOR ENHANCED SCHOOL SAFETY AND SECURITY; FACILITY RENOVATIONS FOR LAMAR HIGH SCHOOL AND PARTNERS FOR HOPE CENTER, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: NEW HVAC & CONTROL SYSTEMS; WINDOW REPLACEMENTS; DOOR REPLACEMENTS; ELECTRICAL UPGRADES; HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ABATEMENT; FIRE RESPONSE SYSTEM REPAIRS; <p>AND TO THE EXTENT REMAINING FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE FOR THE PURPOSE OF ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING, REPAIRING AND IMPROVING DISTRICT CAPITAL ASSETS, WITH SUCH GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TO BEAR INTEREST, MATURE, BE SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION, WITH OR WITHOUT PREMIUM OF NOT MORE THAN 3.00%, AND BE ISSUED AT SUCH TIME, AT SUCH PRICE (AT, ABOVE OR BELOW PAR) AND IN SUCH MANNER AND CONTAINING SUCH TERMS, NOT INCONSISTENT WITH THIS BALLOT ISSUE, AS THE BOARD OF EDUCATION MAY DETERMINE, AND SHALL AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES BE LEVIED WITHOUT LIMIT AS TO THE MILL RATE TO GENERATE AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT IN EACH YEAR TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF, PREMIUM IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT AND TO FUND ANY RESERVES FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF, PROVIDED THAT ANY REVENUE PRODUCED BY SUCH MILL LEVY SHALL NOT EXCEED \$325,000 ANNUALLY?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>
<p>Amendment A (CONSTITUTIONAL)</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution that prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude as punishment for a crime and thereby prohibits slavery and involuntary servitude in all circumstances?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>Proposition 110 (STATUTORY)</p> <p>SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$766,700,000 ANNUALLY FOR A TWENTY-YEAR PERIOD, AND STATE DEBT SHALL BE INCREASED \$6,000,000,000 WITH A MAXIMUM REPAYMENT COST OF \$9,400,000,000, TO PAY FOR STATE AND LOCAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CHANGING THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES TO: 1) INCREASE THE STATE SALES AND USE TAX RATE BY 0.62% BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2019; REQUIRING 45% OF THE NEW REVENUE TO FUND STATE TRANSPORTATION SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND CONGESTION RELATED PROJECTS, 40% TO FUND MUNICIPAL AND COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, AND 15% TO FUND MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS, INCLUDING BIKE, PEDESTRIAN, AND TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; 2) AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES TO FUND PRIORITY STATE TRANSPORTATION MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, INCLUDING MULTIMODAL CAPITAL PROJECTS; AND 3) PROVIDE THAT ALL REVENUE RESULTING FROM THE TAX RATE INCREASE AND PROCEEDS FROM ISSUANCE OF REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES ARE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES EXEMPT FROM ANY STATE OR LOCAL REVENUE, SPENDING, OR OTHER LIMITATIONS IN LAW?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>Prowers County Hospital District Ballot Question 7A</p> <p>Shall the term limits imposed by article XVIII, section 11 of the Colorado constitution be eliminated for Prowers County Hospital District directors?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>
<p>Amendment 73 (CONSTITUTIONAL)</p> <p>SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$1,600,000,000 ANNUALLY BY AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES CONCERNING FUNDING RELATING TO PRESCHOOL THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATION, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING AN EXCEPTION TO THE SINGLE RATE STATE INCOME TAX FOR REVENUE THAT IS DEDICATED TO THE FUNDING OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS; INCREASING INCOME TAX RATES INCREMENTALLY FOR INDIVIDUALS, TRUSTS, AND ESTATES USING FOUR TAX BRACKETS STARTING AT .37% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$150,000 AND INCREASING TO 3.62% FOR INCOME ABOVE \$500,000; INCREASING THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX RATE BY 1.37%; FOR PURPOSES OF SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPERTY TAXES, REDUCING THE CURRENT RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 7.2% TO 7.0% AND THE CURRENT NONRESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT RATE OF 29% TO 24%; REQUIRING THE REVENUE FROM THE INCOME TAX INCREASES TO BE DEPOSITED IN A DEDICATED PUBLIC EDUCATION FUND AND ALLOWING THE REVENUE COLLECTED TO BE RETAINED AND SPENT AS VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES; REQUIRING THE LEGISLATURE TO ANNUALLY APPROPRIATE MONEY FROM THE FUND TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SUPPORT EARLY CHILDHOOD THROUGH HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS ON AN EQUITABLE BASIS THROUGHOUT THE STATE WITHOUT DECREASING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS; DIRECTING THE LEGISLATURE TO ENACT, REGULARLY REVIEW, AND REVISE WHEN NECESSARY, A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW THAT MEETS SPECIFIED CRITERIA; UNTIL THE LEGISLATURE HAS ENACTED A NEW PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE LAW, REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE ANNUALLY APPROPRIATED FOR SPECIFIED EDUCATION PROGRAMS AND PURPOSES; REQUIRING THE MONEY IN THE FUND TO BE USED TO SUPPORT ONLY PUBLIC SCHOOLS; REQUIRING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION TO INCREASE BY INFLATION, UP TO 5%, ANNUALLY; AND REQUIRING THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO COMMISSION A STUDY OF THE USE OF THE MONEY IN THE FUND WITHIN FIVE YEARS?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>Proposition 111 (STATUTORY)</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning limitations on payday lenders, and, in connection therewith, reducing allowable charges on payday loans to an annual percentage rate of no more than thirty-six percent?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	
<p>Amendment 74 (CONSTITUTIONAL)</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution requiring the government to award just compensation to owners of private property when a government law or regulation reduces the fair market value of the property?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>Proposition 112 (STATUTORY)</p> <p>Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a statewide minimum distance requirement for new oil and gas development, and, in connection therewith, changing existing distance requirements to require that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from any structure intended for human occupancy and any other area designated by the measure, the state, or a local government and authorizing the state or a local government to increase the minimum distance requirement?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>	<p>County Measures</p> <p>Prowers County Ballot Issue 1A</p> <p>SHALL PROWERS COUNTY TAXES BE INCREASED BY APPROXIMATELY \$400,000 ANNUALLY BEGINNING IN 2019 AND BY SUCH AMOUNTS AS ARE RECEIVED ANY YEAR THEREAFTER BY THE IMPOSITION OF AN INCREASE IN THE COUNTY'S SALES AND USE TAX BY TWENTY-FIVE HUNDREDTHS (0.0025) OF ONE CENT PER DOLLAR PURSUANT TO PART 1 OF ARTICLE 2 OF TITLE 29, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES TO BE EXCLUSIVELY USED FOR AMBULANCE SERVICES; SHALL SUCH SALES AND USE TAX INCREASE COMMENCE ON JANUARY 1, 2019 AND BE IMPOSED AS FOLLOWS: A SALES TAX INCREASE OF TWENTY-FIVE HUNDREDTHS (0.0025) OF ONE CENT PER DOLLAR ON THE SALE OF TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY AT RETAIL AND THE FURNISHING OF SERVICES IN THE COUNTY; A USE TAX INCREASE OF TWENTY-FIVE HUNDREDTHS (0.0025) OF ONE CENT PER DOLLAR ON THE STORAGE, USE OR CONSUMPTION IN THE COUNTY OF ANY CONSTRUCTION AND BUILDING MATERIALS PURCHASED AT RETAIL AND MOTOR AND OTHER VEHICLES PURCHASED AT RETAIL ON WHICH REGISTRATION IS REQUIRED; PROVIDED THAT THIS QUESTION DOES NOT AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY TO INCREASE ANY OTHER FEE OR TAX, INCLUDING PROPERTY TAXES; AND SHALL THIS TAX INCREASE CONSTITUTE A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE AND EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS THAT OTHERWISE WOULD APPLY TO THE COUNTY UNDER, ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; COMMONLY KNOWN AS THE TAXPAYER'S BILL OF RIGHTS OR "TABOR"; ALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RESOLUTION ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF PROWERS COUNTY AND SET FORTH IN RESOLUTION NO. 2018-7, AS AMENDED AND REENACTED BY RESOLUTION NO. 2018-11?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/In Favor Of <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>
<p>Amendment 75 (CONSTITUTIONAL)</p> <p>Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution providing that if any candidate in a primary or general election for state office directs more than one million dollars in support of his or her own election, then every candidate for that office in the same election may accept five times the amount of campaign contributions normally allowed?</p> <p><input type="radio"/> Yes/For <input type="radio"/> No/Against</p>		

